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## Distribution Shifts



| Bottom DO $\left(\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}\right)$ | Total CPUE (quantiles) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0-1$ | $\boldsymbol{+}$ |
| $1-2$ | $01-25$ |
| $2-4$ | $\bigcirc 26-50$ |
| $>4$ | $\bigcirc 51-75$ |
|  | $\bigcirc 76-100$ |
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Craig 2012 MEPS doi: 10.3354/meps09437

## Aggregation on the hypoxic edge






## Shifts in Fishery Distribution



## Questions

- Given the documented distribution shifts of populations
- What are the consequences of hypoxia for population and fishery dynamics?
- What indirect effects could arise from changes in the behavior of both fishery resources and targets?
- What predictive abilities do we have to identify spatially resolved effects of hypoxia?


## Approaches

- Retrospective Analysis
- Examine spatial \& temporal patterns in fishery dependent and independent datasets for hypoxia effects
- Aerial Surveys
- Aerial surveys for hypoxia effects on fleet dynamics
- Economic Analysis (Smith \& Bennear)
- Effects of hypoxia on harvest, rents or profits


## Retrospective Model

- We constructed a regression model to examine the relationship between shrimping fishery effort and environmental parameters

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{d, y,(\rho, \varphi)}=\alpha_{1}(y)+\alpha_{2}(p G A L)+\alpha_{3}(t o t E F F)+g_{1}(D)+g_{2}(p P N D) \\
+g_{3}(J D)+g_{4}(\rho, \varphi)+g_{5}(\rho, \varphi) D O+e_{d, y,(\rho, \varphi)}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Response : Total Effort
(Avg. Tow Duration, Avg. Tow Count)
- Covariates and parameters

1. Year
2. DO
3. Fuel price
4. Depth
5. Total effort
6. Dockside price
7. Day of year
8. Spatial location.

## Louisiana



## Texas



## Fisherman Behavior

Tow Count


Average Tow Duration


## Menhaden Fishery



Fig. 1 (Top) Composite map of the hypoxic zone (1983-2010). (Bottom) Menhaden set locations (2006-2007).

## Menhaden Effects



## In Retrospect...

- Hypoxia effects spatial distribution and spatial allocation of fishery resources
- Hypoxia effects the fishing behavior


## Aerial Survey

- Are the effects seen on smaller spatial scales similar over a shelf-wide scales?
- Are similar spatial distribution and behavioral effects evident in other data streams?


## Shelf-wide Spatial Scale

## June 24 - July 12011



## Aerial Transects

- synoptic with hydrographic survey (6/24-7/1)
- 29 transects; avg 13 km apart, perpendicular to depth contours
- Reference site east of delta
- Vessel location, activity, approximate heading


## Shelf-wide Spatial Scale

## June 24 - July 12011



Bottom DO (2011)

- 5,400 km ${ }^{2}$ ( 17,520 km $^{2}$ by late July)
-Stretched over ~ 700 miles of coastline (onto Texas shelf)
- Mostly hypoxic ( $<1-2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ ), little anoxia (<1 $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ )
- Three distinct patches


## Shelf-wide Spatial Scale

## June 24 - July 12011

## Bottom DO ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ )




Distance to hypoxia (km)

## Future Directions ...

Continue exploring the aerial survey data in conjunction with remote sensing data.
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